Casebook Obscenity O Rankin = Metro News Wagner Coles -MacMillan V Town Cinema -18 C CC(31) 193 at 205 1/ Imas Square = Ramsingh Un of Manitoba = Popert Winkler =

Germain

5	,	and it looked like we were going to have to go through
	١.	the whole thing with that sales person so we didn't
		bother.
	160	Q. After Byrne left MAI did you call up whoeve
		took over at MAI?
		A. Never heard anymore from them.
10	161	Q. Did you contact
		A. Well, we heard from them but it was a year
		and a half later some guy phoned up from there. He just
		happened to come across the file he said but we already
		signed with Honeywell before we ever heard from someone
		there.
15	162	Q. I gather that you ultimately didn't do
		very much further with Quantel as well.
		A. No. Once this guy we had went with them
•		then we figured we would stay clear.
	163	Q. Did you consider getting another consultant
		in
20		A. No.
	164	Q when you found out that Zanbranski
		wasn't quite as independent as
	,	A. No.
	165	Q. At the time that you were doing this who
		was involved in talking to these salesmen and sort of
25		coordinating what was going on?
		A. I was in it all the time and at sometimes
	0 4	Fred Parking and sometimes Wayne Vincent.
	166	Q. Were you doing memoranda to one another
	4, 7	letting the others know what had been discussed?
30		A. No.
	167	Q. Did you have joint meetings with any of
		these vendors to just make sure that everyone was all on

Av Times Square (1971 / OCA / Gale, Mc Gillivray, Jessup) appeal from conviction on the of exposing obscine video tape - all'd: new total releved. COURT : undue exploitation of sex was to be determined on all the evidence having regard to all 3 factors: - purpose of the producer - artistic everif of the work - community stos of toperance (see p. 237) (compare to Sickson, in Towne Square, p. 504)
who says there are 2
independent tests: - community stols.

- artistic purpose.

Av Towne Grema (1985 / SCC/ HIST: fral - convicted of presenting obscene entertainment (ie film).

Alta CA - appeal dismissed.

SCC - new total ordered. D Community stds is only one fest of "undueness" (cf does
not address "astistic event" because if was not argued ip 504)

RATIO: a Wardians would not afrile offer Canadians seeing audrence & which film targeted is referent because commity may blerate different things for different andverses. 1 fral Judge vot servited to apply his own subjective stds of taste (Beetz Ester McIntyre): audience is NOT referrant. (wilson): onus on crown to adduce enderce

HIST:	Av Rankine [Ont CoCt/Borins CoCtJ/1983].  trial for distrib. obscere publications (ie films).	
R4T/0:	Community Stds. would tokrate sceres corsisting of people engaged in - sexual interesuse  - group sex - lesbianism	
	Tesplansen  - fellatio  - aunvilingus  - anal set.  But not violence of degradation in conjunction up set	+

R. Wagner [1985 / Alta QB / Spannon J] HIST: -trial for selling & distrib. obscene matter (videofepes)
- conviction affirmed by Alta CA / 1986/ per Irving JA AATIO: -> community stols will toperate exotica, no rather
four explicit, which portrays sositive and
affect, anate human sexual interaction between
consenting adult participating on the
basis of equality will not toperate a) sex up violence as crufty b) Sepananizing a degrading sex. even if no violence

Av Coles Book Stores (1974/ Alta S.C / Legg DCJ). HIST: application to spow cause why "Jos" is not obscere. PATIO: O no weeks exploitation of sex by anthors

even though dominant characteristic of

book is sex, because the author's purpose is serious. ② onus on nown to show the puffication is obscere SESPITE the wording in 5. 160(2). [ie "the accused rust show cause.".]

Le Priape, a (1979/ Que Sup Ct / Hugessen ACJQ') HIST: appeal from Dep. Min under 5.47 Customs At classifying. Court: @ fest = whether majerial would spock or
disgust the average member of
the Confergorary Canadian Community. (a) community less tolerant of overt porrosexual acts
than of similar acts between heterosexuals
(a) though male frontal mudity is not within
the prohibited category).

Re PinkTriangle)

(Re PinkTriangle)

(Rough Politic)

(Body Politic)

(Frial - acquited on chy of mailing ; worsal or indecent matter

(ounty ct - new trial ordered. HIST: Oct -> affirm order for new friel. SCC -> motion for teave refused Oct 6/1981. ATTO: Of the whole publication need not be found to be immoral a indecent Den rewspaper must be judged in a different way

for a vovel (which must be considered as a whole) 3) test to be applied is the community stell

Re University of Marifolia (1983 / Meritoba Coct / Ferg Coct J) #157: appeal from dein of Dep Min. under s. 47
which barred film on male masturbation
-all'd. RATIO: Don't look at the purpose of producing silon grapese for which film used.

(viz. for medical statuts). 2. where - pegitimately created,
- for moral of educational purpose.
- used in impeccable surroundings
- impeccable motives, then NOT imoral or wherent.

Le Winkler (1973/ Ont Co Ct/ Phelan cocf J) Hist: appeal from Dep. Min prohibiting books - dismissed Ord sex Decision of the haw in Denmark. KATIO: O must ask are the photographs recessary to a E even if book is serious, a disproportionale stress on illustrations with are completely impred with sex outweight the scholarly or astistic werit L'immoral or indecent. (3) just because books imported for personal use, as opposed to distribution, does not render them any less opposere.

M. V. Ramsingh et Al. 14 C.E.C. (3d) 230 Minitoba Court of Queen: Beach July 18, 1984 Feng. J. (trial) The newsed was charged with possession of observe films for the purpose of destributions contrary to See 159 of 115 Comment Colo O To determine observing under 159 (8), one Just Apply a notional community standard of (2) The radional comments well not bottom is Tilms that degreed at achomorise namen, unless Ste violence in the silm is of AN WINDERSUS AND in significant beautable Kish. (3) Ser. 159 is not unconstitutional by across of ser 2 (6) of the Chenten. The section prevides A MORENABLE limitation which can be justified order sen 1 of the Charden (4) Accused was convicted.

L. Delorne 15 ccc (2d) 350 Oveber Count of Appeal Manch 22-,1973 Montgomery transect and GAgnow, J. J. A. (Montgomery transect mom majority) Appeal from conviction by Awedil, J. S. P. Count of Queen's Bush (Caiminal) - June 8, 1970 Accused was changed with possession of Au to S. 159 (1) (a) of the Common Code. Anses spended & books done. The book was The stong of O. good as twee on Espenimental and Senting with Decharge has beater of showing that the book was for "highen qualities" by the rophistiseted menden, not CAPABLE OF diring some nevertage from it. Direct by Montgomen, J.A.

of the book.

and in enotice.

P. V. The Mac Millar Company of Cavada 11d. 72 B. L. R. (3d) 33 County Count Judges Comming 1 Count, Judicial Distant of youth, On Janes July 16, 1876 GRABURN, Co. Ct. J. (trial) The Accused componed on was changed with possession of observe books for the purpose of distribution containey to see. 159(1)(a) of the Comment Colt. The book uns Show ME, A El MANUEL for children And their panents. KALO (1) To de demmine it the book has 95 a dominant chancedone to the volue toplo to from of sex, the Count much have negres to the book as a whole, not do iso lated photographs on passages. (2) The Authon's purpose will Also be considered. (3) Criteria los order exploitation:

(4) A) introval recissions of the contemporary Com
Adian community - the average penson would have no
objection to the book being stent and nead by those who wish to read it.

Of Command Acts proceed ipso Souto proof of

1 The book serves the public good.

(6) Book not observe.

### R. v. Video World

Manitoba Court of Appeal, September 1985

Facts: Video outlet alleged to be circulating smutty videos, contrary to s. 159 of the Criminal Code.

Findings and issues:

Huband J.A. (majority--4 other judges concur): simply states that community standard of tollerance exceeded because of sexual scenes of films. There is undue exploitation. Simply relies on Cinema International case (M.C.A. 1982)

Matas J.A. (no others concurring):

History: Accused acquitted at trial. Appeal allowed and conviction entered by Man. C.A.. Leave to appeal granted by the Red Nine, to be heard in the present term.

January 12, 1987

Client No. 41 Matter No. CG000001

Mr. Sidney Jaffe 110 Bloor Street West Suite 1905 Toronto, Ontario M5S 2W7

## - IN ACCOUNT WITH -

Charles Campbell
ILER, CAMPBELL & ASSOCIATES
Barristers & Solicitors
136 Simcoe Street
Suite 201
Toronto, Ontario
M5H 3G4

RE: Jaffe ats. Grant

DATE

PARTICULARS

Dec. 9/86

ing conserved and all and

1

1.

\*

## R. v. Red Hot Video

B.C.C.A.; March 1985

Facts: Accused charged with possession for the purposes of distribution, a number of smutty films. Agreed that films were obscene within the meaning of s. 159 of the Criminal Code. Issue was whether obscenity provisions of Code were in violation of sections 2(b) and 7 of Charter.

# Issues/Findings:

Definition of obscenity not so broad as to offend principles of fundamental justice under the Charter.

The courts have no difficulty in applying the community standards test (!).

Violent sexual videos are obscene; so are ones which degrade the participants by portray them as having animal characteristics.

Anderson J: (concurring) Material lacking in serious artistic, literary, scientific, or political value and dealing to an excessive degree with explicit sex and violence, children, or the portrayal of men and women as having animal characteristics. Material with these images can be salvaged if have artistic, literary, scientific, political merit.

To be demonstrably justified under section 1, it must be shown that harm would result if the freedom were not curtailed.

History: Accused convicted at trial. Appeal to B.C.C.A. denied.

4. My reply does not extend to any period of time later than the date of the fiscal period under review and noted above.

- My reply is solely for your information and assistance in connection with the audit of the financial condition of 440423 ONTARIO LIMITED and is not to be quoted in whole or in part or otherwise referred to in any financial statement or related document, nor is it to be filed with any governmental agency or other person without my prior written consent.
- It is impracticable for us to give details of each and every matter upon which we have received inquiries from this company over the telephone from day to day or by correspondence. As we act in a general capacity for 440423 ONTARIO LIMITED, we assume that outstanding matters in the ordinary course of this company's business are not of immediate concern, but that this inquiry relates only to legal actions commenced or threatened against it. It is possible that outstanding matters upon which we have advised this company from day to day may ultimately lead to litigation.

Subject to the foregoing, as of January 9, 1987, I reply as follows: The han to lavored

There are no claims or possible claims with respect to which my firm's adivce or representation has been sought and which are outstanding.

shown that harm would result at the treeds

Yours truly,

Charles Campbell

CC:da

cc: SAMSON BELAIR

Chartered Accountants
390 Bay 5+

#### Germain v. R.

S.C.C.; October 1985.

The Nationale Sexe Boutique case.

Issues/Findings:

Sex toys are 'publications' under the Criminal Code.

Context in which articles are displayed is relevant to the finding of obscenity. Here, court below erred in not taking into account that inside store on the door of which was sign "For Adults Only". But note that clientel at which store directed is not relevant.

History: Convicted at trial. Appeals to Quebec Cour Superieur, Court of Appeal, and the red nine all dismissed.

# Resolution of the Board of Directors and Shareholder of Chandomal Limited

RESOLVED that the Sampson Belair are re-appointed as auditors for Chandomal Limited for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1985.

RESOLVED that the financial statement for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1984 is approved.

The foregoing resolution is hereby passed by the sole director and shareholder of the corporation pursuant to the Business Corporations Act evidenced by her signature hereto.

June 29, 1985.

FLORENCE E. CAMPBELL